Appl. No. 10/608,838

Amdt. dated June 9, 2005

Reply to Office action of April 13, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This amendment is in response to the Office communication dated April 13, 2005.

Applicant notes that the Examiner found claims 1-26 to be allowable.

The Examiner objected to claim 24 as containing informality. Applicant hereby amends

claim 24 to remove the basis for objection. The amendment does not affect the scope of the

claim.

The Examiner rejected claim 27 under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over

Livingston, in view of Franzmann. Although Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection on

the grounds that the combination of references do not teach or suggest each and every element of

the claim, the Applicant hereby cancels claim 27 in order to put the application in condition for

allowance. The cancellation of claim 27 should not be construed as limiting Applicant's

invention in any way, and the remaining allowable claims are entitled to their full scope and any

equivalents.

Consequently, Applicant respectfully requests allowance of the remaining claims and

passage of the case to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

POLSTER, LIEDER, WOODRUFF, & LUCCHESI, L.C.

Rν

Ned W. Randle

Reg. No. 35,989

Tel.: (314) 238-2400 Fax: (314) 238-2401

_ (- - -) --

Page 9 of 9